



Smt Kamaljit Kaur, W/o Sh. Paramjit Singh,
Village Bathwsala Sukha, P.O Gurdaspur.
Distt. Gurdaspur.

... Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Child Development Project Officer,
Tehsil & Distt Gurdaspur.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 920 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Ms.Komalpreet Kaur CDPO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through an RTI application dated 16.04.2021 has sought information regarding bank account number of Child development office Gurdaspur and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of CDPO Gurdaspur. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 13.07.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Gurdaspur. The Commission has received reply of the PIO on 29.12.2021 which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The complainant is absent nor is represented.

The reply of the PIO is not justified since the complainant has only asked for bank account number of the department which is not the personal information of any employee. The PIO is directed to provide information to the complainant within 10 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.01.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Prem Kumar Rattan,
H No-78/8, Park Road,
Navi Mandi, Dhuri,
Distt Sangrur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Managing Director,
PUNSUP, SCO-36-40,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Managing Director,
PUNSUP, SCO-36-40,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3194 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Amandeep Singh, APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 29.03.2021 has sought information on 10 points regarding tenders called for delivery of LPG gas home delivery in all districts of Punjab from 01.04.2021 to 31.03.2021 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Managing Director, PUNSUP Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sangrur. The appellant is absent and vide email has sought exemption. The appellant in the said email has also informed that he has received the information from Ludhiana, Barnala, Bathinda and Tarn Taran but the information of remaining districts has not been provided.

The respondent present informed that the information relating to districts Sri Mukatsar Sahib, Jalandhar and Roopnagar has also been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 07.01.2022 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission.

The information submitted by the respondent is again being sent to the appellant alongwith the order.

With the above, the information stands provided.

No further interference of the Commission is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.01.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Harinder Pal Singh, s/o Sh Dalbir Singh,
R/o H NO-278, Near Old Talab, Hira Mahal Colony,
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Social Security Officer,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Social Securities Women and Child Welfare Deptt,
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3093 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Chaman Lal, Suptd. for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 16.03.2021 has sought information regarding different schemes introduced by department of Social Security and Women & Child Development Punjab – details of beneficiaries of pension schemes in Nabha city from 2015 to 2021– recommendations of different and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Social Security Officer, Patiala. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 12.04.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.05.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The respondent present informed that the information has already been provided to the appellant.

The appellant is absent and vide email has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.01.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Sukrit Sharda,
50/186, Old Shahpur Road,
Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2989 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Ms.Shamli, Clerk for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.01.2021 has sought information regarding details of grants and funds received under different heads of account and scheme for the purchase of medicines, surgical equipments and other material during 2019-20 and 2020-21 – copies of bills/vouchers of payments and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot/ Amritsar. As per respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information. The respondent has also sent acknowledgement of the appellant which has been taken on record.

The appellant is absent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.01.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Sukrit Sharda,
50/186, Old Shahpur Road,
Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family Welfare,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2990 of 2021

**PRESENT: None for the Appellant
 Dr.Ankur Kausahal for the Respondent**

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.01.2021 has sought information regarding details of grants and funds received under different heads of account and scheme for the purchase of medicines, surgical equipments and other material during 2019-20 and 2020-21 – copies of bills/vouchers of payments and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Civil Surgeon, Gurdaspur. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 25.02.2021 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot/ Gurdaspur.

The PIO vide letter received in the Commission on 06.01.2022 has informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged having received the information to his satisfaction. The PIO has also attached a copy of acknowledgement of the appellant with the said letter which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The appellant is absent.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh
Dated: 11.01.2022**

**Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission**